

Effects of MTM on Benthic Communities

This document provides a summary of the article: "Downstream effects of mountaintop coal mining: comparing biological conditions using family and genus level macroinvertebrate bioassessment tools" by: G.J. Pond, M.E Passmore, F.A. Borsuk, L. Reynolds and C.J Rose, from the US EPA's Wheeling field office. The paper was published in the [Journal of the North American Benthological Society](#).

Surface coal mining with valley fills has impaired aquatic life in numerous streams of the central [Appalachian Mountains](#). This study characterizes the [macroinvertebrate](#) communities from riffles in 37 West Virginia streams (10 un-mined and 27 mined sites with valley fills) sampled in the spring [index period](#). The results are compared using family and genus level taxonomic data. [Specific conductance](#) μcm (low < 500; medium 500-1000; high > 1000) was used to categorize levels of mining disturbance in mined watersheds. Mining activities impair biological communities by:

- Shifting species assemblages;
- Loss of [Ephemeroptera](#) taxa
- Changing community composition and diversity; and
- Altering water chemistry.

Results were consistent whether family or genus level data were used. Using both data types mined sites were significantly separated from un-mined sites, indicating that shifts in community structure was caused by mining. Several Ephemeroptera genera and their families ([Ephemerellidae](#) and [Heptageniidae](#)) were eliminated from most mined sites. Total Ephemeroptera richness and relative abundance declined with increasing mining disturbance.

Several other [metrics](#) were also effective in discriminating un-mined versus mined sites. Most family level metrics performed well and approximated the strength of genus-based metrics. A genus-level multi-metric index called the Genus-Level Index of Most Probable Stream Status ([GLIMPSS](#)) rated more mined sites as impaired, than did the family-level [WV Stream Condition Index](#) ([WVSCI](#)). Water quality variables were more strongly correlated to changes in the macroinvertebrate communities than typical RBP [habitat variables](#). Results show that mining activities have had subtle to severe impacts on benthic macroinvertebrate communities, and that the biological condition most strongly correlates with a gradient of ionic strength.

The table below provide the percent relative frequency of (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) occurrences among mining categories; and also the family-level metric values among mined and un-mined sites. [CLICK-HERE](#) to download the full article

Mayfly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High	Mayfly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High
Ameletidae	<i>Ameletus</i>	90	71	25	0	Baetidae	<i>Acentrella</i>	60	43	25	8
	<i>Drunella</i>	90	57	0	0		<i>Baetis</i>	70	71	63	17
Ephemerellidae	<i>Ephemerella</i>	100	86	25	8	Ephemeridae	<i>Diphetor</i>	10	0	0	0
	<i>Eurylophella</i>	20	0	0	0		<i>Plauditus</i>	10	43	13	25
Heptageniidae	<i>Cinygmulia</i>	80	43	0	0	Isonychiidae	<i>Ephemeraria</i>	20	0	0	0
	<i>Epeorus</i>	100	43	0	0		<i>Isonychia</i>	0	14	0	0
	<i>Stenacron</i>	30	0	0	0	Leptophlebiidae	<i>Paraleptophlebia</i>	90	43	0	0
	<i>Stenonema</i>	30	14	0	0						
Stonefly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High	Stonefly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High
Capniidae	<i>Paracapnia</i>	10	0	0	0	Leuctridae	<i>Leuctra</i>	90	29	25	17
	<i>Alloperla</i>	0	14	0	0	Nemouridae	<i>Amphinemura</i>	100	100	88	75
Chloroperlidae	<i>Haploperla</i>	30	14	25	0		<i>Ostrocerca</i>	10	0	0	0
	<i>Sweltsa</i>	20	14	0	0	Perlodidae	<i>Prostoia</i>	10	43	13	0
Peltoperlidae	<i>Peltoperla</i>	0	29	13	0		<i>Clioperla</i>	0	0	0	0
	<i>Acroneuria</i>	30	29	13	8	Perlidae	<i>Diploperla</i>	0	14	13	8
Perlidae	<i>Eccoptura</i>	0	0	0	0		<i>Isoperla</i>	20	29	25	25

Effects of MTM on Benthic Communities

	<i>Hansonoperla</i>	10	0	0	0		<i>Malirekus</i>	10	0	0	0
Pteronarcyidae	<i>Pteronarcys</i>	60	43	13	0		<i>Remenus</i>	30	29	0	8
Taeniopterygidae	<i>Taenionema</i>	10	14	0	0		<i>Yugus</i>	60	14	0	0
Caddisfly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High	Caddisfly families	Genus	None	Low	Medium	High
Glossosomatidae	<i>Agapetus</i>	10	0	0	0		<i>Ceratopsyche</i>	10	43	38	50
	<i>Glossosoma</i>	0	14	0	8	Hydropsychidae	<i>Cheumatopsyche</i>	0	86	88	92
	<i>Hydroptila</i>	10	0	0	8		<i>Diplectrona</i>	80	71	75	42
Hydroptilidae	<i>Ochrotrichia</i>	0	0	0	8		<i>Hydropsyche</i>	10	57	88	67
	<i>Stactobiella</i>	0	0	13	0	Lepidostomatidae	<i>Lepidostoma</i>	10	0	0	0
Limnephilidae	<i>Pycnopsyche</i>	20	0	0	0	Polycentropodidae	<i>Polycentropus</i>	30	29	13	8
	<i>Chimarra</i>	0	29	13	33	Psychomiidae	<i>Lype</i>	10	0	0	0
Philopotamidae	<i>Dolophilodes</i>	20	43	13	8	Rhyacophilidae	<i>Rhyacophila</i>	70	57	50	17
	<i>Wormaldia</i>	20	0	0	0	Uenoidae	<i>Neophylax</i>	70	71	13	8

Metrics	Mined	Un-mined	RPD
Total Taxa	12	20	50.0
EPT Taxa	6	13	73.7
Ephemeroptera Taxa	2	5	85.7
Plecoptera Taxa	4	2	66.7
Family Biotic Index	4.3	3.4	23.4
% EPT Abundance	51.1	77.9	20.8
% Ephemeroptera	7.4	45.6	144.2
% Plecoptera	27.3	23.8	13.7
% Chironomidae	27.1	13.5	67.0

[CLICK-HERE](#) to read more